Supply: Water available or developed tofor serveing municipal and industrial needs

- Reliability Characteristic of a supply project that relates to the certainty of project yield under a
 range of foreseeable and unforeseeable conditions. Reliability is mainly related to hydrologic
 and/or hydrogeologic conditions that are variable over time and under various climatologic
 conditions.
- Supports ecosystem values supply project is or can be developed and operated in a manner that minimizes or effectively mitigates for disruption to aquatic or terrestrial ecosystems.
- Resilience -- Characteristic of a supply project that relates to a project's ability to effectively
 operate under a range of foreseeable and unforeseeable conditions. Resilience is mainly related
 to natural disasters such as earthquakes, major storm events, etc.
- Adaptability Characteristic of a supply project that relates to how well the approach can be
 modified over time to respond to changing conditions. Flexibility to add capacity increments over
 time (scalability), or treat water from a variety of sources with different quality, would be
 examples of adaptability.
- Implementability Characteristic of a supply project that relates to the siting and environmental and regulatory review processes associated with a project.
- Technically feasible now approaches, technologies and regulations guiding the development and
 operation of the supply project, particularly related to <u>production</u>, storage, and treatment, are
 known and examples of their application elsewhere provide confidence that they could be applied
 here.
- Technically feasible in the future approaches, technologies and regulations guiding the development and operation of the supply project, particularly related to storage and treatment, are not firmly established but are under development and likely to be available for implementation within no more than 5 years.

Demand: Municipal and industrial water use

- Maximizes conservation --
- Reliability Characteristic of a demand management approach or program that relates to the
 certainty of program yield under a range of foreseeable and unforeseeable conditions. Reliability
 is mainly related to the degree to which a demand management effort focuses on modifying
 fixtures used, for example through plumbing code changes, or targets behavior changes of users.

Comment [d1]: This seems to be duplicative. I would remove it since this concept is covered in the environmental well being section, otherwise you get double counting which will skew the results in favor of environmentally benign projects. If the committee wants to decide to value environmental effects higher this can be done with the weighting.

Comment [RM2]: I agree with Dana's comment here – when you've gone through the whole set, this kind of thing pops out

Comment [d3]: This could be folded into the reliability if you think there are too many subcriteria. However, I do appreciate the distinction.

Comment [d4]: I think we can combine the two technically feasible concepts into one, with a ranking system that takes into account for timing.

Comment [RM5]: Dana's idea here works for me

Comment [RM6]: I actually don't think this is a characteristic or evaluation criteria for demand management. I think this is a characteristic of a recommended program or portfolio. In other words, demand management programs or approaches (which likely include a range of individual programs or approaches) should not be evaluated on this criteria because by definition each approach probably would meet it. But we should evaluate packages or portfolios of measures against this criteria – and that comes later once we've created them.

Comment [d7]: Agreed. This is tricky because we are weighing a portfolio of DMMs on the demand side against individual alternatives on the supply side. Usually, for the DDMs, there is a benefit to cost ratio as the deciding factor. But for the supply alternatives I don't think we'll be able to do a comprehensive benefit analysis within the scope of this project. Perhaps this comment belongs in the cost of water section.

- Supports ecosystem values demand management approaches that are or can be developed and
 operated in a manner that facilitates operating the water system in a manner that minimizes or
 effectively mitigates for disruption to aquatic or terrestrial ecosystems associated with extracting
 water from the natural environment for use by municipal and industrial customers.
- Resilience –
- Adaptability Characteristic of a demand management program or approach that relates to how
 well the approach can be modified over time to respond to changing conditions. Flexibility to
 expand programs over time (scalability), or incorporate technological improvements in plumbing
 fixtures over time, would be examples of adaptability.
- Implementability Characteristic of a demand management program that relates to the
 challenges of obtaining the projected savings. The degree to which programs require incentives,
 program performances requires significant levels of voluntary adoption, or the degree to which
 mandatory changes are required, along with the requisite development of rules, regulations and
 enforcement mechanisms, are examples of potential issues with Implementability.
- Technically feasible now approaches, technologies and regulations guiding the development and
 operation of demand management programs or approaches, for example alternate or
 decentralized water use strategies such as grey water, or rainwater catchments, are known and
 examples of their application elsewhere provide confidence that they could be applied here.
- Technically feasible in the future approaches, technologies, regulations or market conditions
 guiding the development of the demand management programs or approaches, for examples
 opportunities to implement local plumbing code changes that are more stringent than those
 required by national or state plumbing codes, are not firmly established but may be available for
 implementation within no more than 5 years.

Cost of Water: This criterion relates to the various ways to calculate and compare the cost of water produced from various alternative supplemental supply projects or demand management projects, programs or approaches. Each approach to looking at cost provides valuable information to be considered in decision-making.

Implementation cost – Implementation costs are those required to get a project or program up
and running. They do not include operating costs, but do include research and planning,
engineering, land or right of way acquisition, regulatory permitting, as well as construction or
program initiation costs that might be needed to get a project or a program up and running.

Comment [d8]: See comment 1 above

Comment [RM9]: I actually don't think this is a characteristic of a demand management program. If we have reliability here, which we do, then that covers the relevant topic for demand management.

Comment [RM10]: I'm using adaptability here instead of scalability because I think the degree to which we can keep the language used for evaluation criteria for supply and demand similar, the better off we will be.

Comment [d11]: Ditto above. If these subcriteria are each getting ranked, then technical feasibility would carry more weight than it ought to in relation to the other sub-criteria.

- Operating cost Operating costs are those that result from the day to day operation of the project
 or program. Staffing, chemicals, power, rebates or incentives, monitoring, regulatory compliance
 costs, program evaluation efforts, materials and equipment, and advertising, for example, are
 operating costs that would be relevant to water supply or demand management programs. For
 water supply projects, operating costs do include regular repair and routine maintenance costs,
 but do not include major capital rehabilitation and replacement activities that are necessary
 reinvestments for major infrastructure such as reservoirs, dams, treatment plants, pump stations,
 pipelines, and distribution system storage and piping.
- Cost effectiveness Cost effectiveness calculations provide information necessary to compare
 alternatives. Cost effectiveness measures can be developed for a wide range of areas of
 comparisons such as operating costs, implementation costs, energy costs per million gallons
 produced, cost per million gallons produced, etc.
- Life-cycle cost Life-cycle costs include both the implementation and operating costs for a project or program and are often expressed in relative terms such as cost per million gallons produced.

Environmental Well-Being: This criterion relates to the degree to which a water supply or demand management strategy the characteristic of a community that contributes to or impacts the quality and sustainability of the natural environment. It creates and maintains.

- Sustainably manages and protects natural and water resources this criterion covers a broad array of attitudes, behaviors, policies and procedures that enhance the community's ability and capacity to plan and operate in a manner that is sustainable and protects the natural environment. Subcriteria related to this criteria would include:
 - Minimizes impacts on fishery resources and aquatic ecosystems plans and operates in a manner designed to minimize or appropriately mitigate the impacts of water supply projects and operations on fishery resources and aquatic ecosystems.
 - Minimizes impacts to terrestrial resources and ecosystems -- plans and operates in a manner designed to minimize or appropriately mitigate the impacts of water supply projects and operations on terrestrial resources and ecosystems.
 - Utilizes groundwater resources in a sustainable manner and restores depleted aquifers -plans and operates in a manner designed to use groundwater resources in a sustainable
 manner and to improve the conditions of depleted aquifers so that they can support
 long-term sustainable use.
 - Supports and maintains biodiversity and environmental resilience recognizes and values
 the contributions that biodiversity and environmental resilience play in supporting
 human activity and takes steps to protect and enhance the environment's ability to
 produce and deliver these benefits.
- Minimizes increased energy consumption and carbon footprint this criterion focuses on the
 energy intensity and contribution to the Water Utility's (and the community's) carbon footprint
 of various alternative approaches to improving the reliability of Santa Cruz's water supply.
- Improves the ability of the environment to adapt to climate change this criterion relates to the

Comment [d12]: Hmm, this seems duplicative too if we already have implementation and O&M costs. I guess my overarching question is how are we going to evaluate supply alternatives and DMMs on the same time scale seeing that each one has a varying degree of useful life?

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

degree to which alternative approaches to improving the reliability of Santa Cruz's water supply would affect the ability of the environment to adapt to climate change.

- Promotes outdoor recreation
- Improve ambient aesthetics

Community Well-Being: This criterion encompasses a range of social and community value issues that are important in establishing and maintaining a strong and socially viable community that supports the desired range of community characteristics and provides for the community's diverse needs and interests. Included in this criteria are basic human needs and values, as shown, for example, in lower three levels of Maslow's hierarchy of need (see next page) as well as larger community needs and values.

Self-Actualization

Pursue talent, creativity, fulfillment

Self-Esteem

Achievement, Mastery, Recognition

Belonging

Friends, Family, Community

Safety

Security, Shelter

Physiological

Food, Water, Warmth

- Community Character this criterion focuses on the look and feel of the community as it relates
 to the availability of and demand for water. Examples of a continuum of community
 characteristics that relate to water are shown below:
 - Community with gardens and green spaces using traditional/historical plantings and landscaping;
 - community that has been modified by the wholesale adoption of biodiversity adapted plantings and landscaping
 - o Community that has been modified by the large scale elimination of plantings and landscaping requiring irrigation during the dry season.
- Strong Economy this criterion relates to the degree to which the availability of water supports
 or constrains the creation and sustainability of the local economy. Characteristics of the water
 issue that probably influence the local economy as much as the total amount of water that is

Comment [RM13]: So, here's another one I'm struggling with. In the supply and demand definitions elsewhere in this document, the adaptability of the solution to climate change has been laid out for consideration. But what is it we can really do to the environment (or not do, I suppose) to improve its ability to adapt to climate change? Maybe I'm missing something here, but I just can't see it. If we build more storage of some sort to catch rain when it is available, that improves our ability to use what get by giving us a place to put it. If we lower our carbon foot print, which we have addressed in a criterion elsewhere, that does improves the environment's ability to slow down climate change, but I don't think ghg mitigation is the same thing as adaptation.

Comment [d14]: I agree. The water dept has control over only so much! I guess as part of defining alternatives we could suggest combining, creating, or changing the mission statement and statutory authority of various local agencies to take a more holistic approach to water/wastewater/storm water management and also include environmental stewardship. But "changing the environment's capacity to adapt" is unreasonable.

Comment [RM15]: See comment in the community well-being section about recreation. I don't see the connection of this to what we're doing. And I certainly don't see how we apply this kind of criteria to evaluation of alternate water supply projects or demand management programs.

Comment [RM16]: If this is about ensuring the availability of green spaces for passive and active recreation, then I think it belongs in community well being and maybe it is a separate Subcriteria – but we have to call it something else besides this – this title doesn't resonate

Comment [RM17]: I really have no idea what this is. Seems to me that this might belong more in the community well being section and that if it does, than I've already covered it there, at least in part, with the discussion about the continuum of landscaping and plantings

Comment [d18]: So these would all be different scenarios?

available for use in economic activity and maintaining the desired community character include the reliability, adaptability and resilience of the supply and demand management programs.

- Vibrant University of California at Santa Cruz this criterion relates to the degree to which the
 availability of water supports or constraints the University's ability to create and sustain a level
 positive activity that contributes to and is supportive of the desired characteristics of the larger
 community in Santa Cruz.
- Social and Political Stability -- this criterion relates to the degree to which the availability of water supports or constrains the community's social and political stability. Characteristics of the water issue that have the greatest potential to influence social and political stability include the degree to which the resolution or lack of resolution of the water supply reliability issue in our community becomes polarizing or divisive.
- Growth -- this criterion relates to the degree to which the availability of water supports or constrains the community's ability to grow in ways that are established by, for example, the City's General Plan, as well as the degree to which the availability of water supports or constrains growth that might occur after the period covered by the current General Plan.
- Public Health this criterion addresses the degree to which options for supplemental supply or demand management minimize the potential for degrading the protection of public health.
- Affordability this criterion addresses the degree to which water cost increases make water less
 available to those with lower incomes or require a disproportionate amount of a household's
 income to pay for water service.
- Pride in the Community's Water Strategy Each community has its own identity, character and value system. This criterion relates to the degree to which the selected strategy would align with the community's desire to be a leader and to look at issues and adopt solutions in a manner that support its strong commitments to environmental sustainability, demand management, and a willingness to try new approaches.
- Recreation –

Comment [d19]: I'm not sure this should be called out separately since it's such a divisive issue. I would include this in the community character criterion somehow.

Comment [RM20]: Or part there and part in economic well being?

Comment [d21]: I also feel like this could be lumped in with the community character criterion somehow.

Comment [RM22]: I'm having a hard time with this one — If this an ancillary benefit of certain kind of supply benefits, for example a reservoir, then I get it. If it is water related recreation in flowing streams, beyond what we would do for fish flow releases, I really can't see us doing anything else related to releasing water for recreation. If this is part of the larger community well-being (a la Maslow), then I'm fine with it, but I really don't think that this is a stand-alone criterion that we can or should use to rate possible supply or demand management projects.

What am I missing?