14-0072 City of Santa Cruz WSAC Support

## Preliminary Activities, Time Durations, and Potential Focus Areas for Performance Measures for ASR Project Development and Implementation

				Estimated	
				Duration	
Phase	General Description	Task #	Task	(years)	Potential "Triggers"
1	Higher-Level Fessibility Analysos	1 1	Identify / Select Existing Well(s) for Potential Dilot ASR Testing	0.25	Suitable existing wells in target aquifers for testing cannot be identified
	Tight - Level reasibility Analyses	1.2	Perform Site-Specific Injection Capacity Constraints Analysis for	0.25	Results show that desired per-well injection capacity is unrealistic
			Selected Existing Well	0120	Results show that undesireable reactions (precipitation and/or
		1.3	Perform 3-component Geochemical Interaction Modeling	0.25	dissolution) are likely
		1.4	Develop Pilot ASR Testing Program	0.25	Cufficient number of new ACD wells sites connet be identified
		1.5	Preliminary Groundwater Modeling of ASR Scenarios (parallel to	0.5	Suncient number of new ASR wens sites connot be identified
		1.6	above tasks)	0.5 - 2.0	Results show:
					- The target aquifers cannot sustain the required injection rates
					- The target aquifers cannot store the required recharge volumes
					and durations without excessive losses
					<ul> <li>The target aquifers cannot sustain the required recovery pumping rates without undesirable results</li> </ul>
	l	۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	Phase 1 Duration Subtotal	1 - 2	
2	Pilot ASR Testing	2.1	Retrofit Existing Well for Pilot ASR Testing (temporary facilities)	0.25	Desulte show
		2.2	Perform injection well Hydraulic Testing	0.25	- Sustainable per-well injection rate is too low
					- Unacceptable plugging rates are observed
					- Backflushing cannot fully mitigate plugging and maintain well
			Develop Multiple ISR Cycle Testing Program (based on results of T		performance
		2.3	2.2)	0.25	
		2.4	Implement Multiple ISR Cycle Tests	1.0 - 2.0	Results show:
					- Injection results in unacceptable aquifer water-level response
					- Stored water-quality exceeds State Standards
					<ul> <li>Long-term per-well recovery rates are not sustainable</li> <li>Recovery pumping results in unacceptable offsite impacts to other</li> </ul>
					basin pumpers
			Defined Council and a line of ACD Council or (here does not all		- Recovered water-quality exceeds State Standards
		2.5	of T 2.4)	0.5	Results show:
					- The target aquifers cannot sustain the required injection rates
					without undesireable results
					and durations without excessive losses
					- The target aquifers cannot sustain the required recovery pumping
		2.6	Develon Basis-of-Design for Permanent ASR Well Facilities	0.5	rates without undesirable results Estimated project costs exceed allowable budget
		2.0	Phase 2 Duration Subtotal	2 - 4	
2	Dura in a triangle and the				Sufficient number of ASR well sites (existing or new wells) cannot
3	Project implementation	3.1	Procurement of ASK Facilities Properties / KOW	1.0	Needed Diversion/Treatment/Conveyance improvements prove
		3.2	Design / Engineer ASR Well Project Facilities (parallel with T 2.1)	1.0	infeasible
		33	Perform CEQA for Permanent ASR Project	05	Potential significant impacts cannot be mitigated
		3.4	ASR Well Drilling and Production Testing	1.5	New well performance is insufficient for project needs
			Infrastructural Improvements (diversion/treatment/conveyance)		New facilities weekle to deliver sufficient actions to firm 100
		3.5	Perform ASR Demonstration Testing and Develop Site-Specific	1.5	Unanticipated well and/or aquifer response (water-levels and/or -
		3.6	Operational Parameters	1.0 - 2.0	quality) is observed
			Phase 3 Duration Subtotal	4 - 6	