

Discussion Wednesday

High-Level Issues

- At last plenary, IPR was the default back-up. Latest version changes to DPR. Discuss.
- **Public health**
 - Does it work to make it guiding principle and acknowledgement of issues? (pp 65-66)
- **Timing** on the node tables and elsewhere
 - Should timing ever be fixed? (Preference for 'performance based')
 - Should timing for full groundwater target yield be set at 10 years?
 - What should the timing be for the Back-up phases? (How much gets done before 2.2 decision?)
- **Yield**
 - Clarify yield target for storage number and for accessible water delivered in worst-year peak season? (2.4 BG and 1.2 BG—probably been sorted out.)
 - Yield target is set using IWP 15% curtailment
 - Your Agreement can't reset the IWP goal but could recommend changes?
 - Recommend that IWP goal be changed to nearly-no curtailment?
 - If so, at 2.2 decision, hold groundwater strategies to that new standard but give them some extra time to accomplish it?
- **Cost**
 - Is 130% the right 'lean' at the 2.2 decision?
 - If part of the justification for the 130% is ancillary, such as a boost to the regional economy or ecosystem improvements, shouldn't these be demonstrated and maybe quantified?
 - Need to mention how to deal with sunk cost? (If so, how?)
 - Impact to ratepayers
 - Need to note this information wasn't available for WSAC decision
 - In language saying the cost metric is the favored child, should add a nod towards rates when that information becomes available?

Gnarly Vocabulary

- Rainfall Independent replaced with... *newly produced* or *rainfall semi-independent* or *climate buffered*?
- Need neutral term for toilet-to-tap. Advanced treated recycled water?
- Need appendix for production, yield, cost metric.

Better Explanations/Clarity

- Why is ASR return 80% and in lieu 60%? Seem to be two different explanations in the doc.
- List of groundwater benefits needs to be improved?
- Need appendix about yield, production, cost metric and how cost sharing affects the cost metric?
- Give infrastructure improvements their own number?
- Clarify that decision nodes on node table are not exclusive, decisions can come any time significant new information pops a threshold. (I think this is in the node table but could perhaps usefully be in the text as well—several readers get tangled up in this one. Also pathways diagram could clarify.)
- Make a table showing strengths of different strategies?